


Facilitation Participant Evaluation


The U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution requests your assistance in evaluating this process. As a part of this evaluation we ask the various participants who have been involved in this project to provide us with information about their experience. The data compiled will be used to improve future mediation and facilitation processes. The average estimated reporting burden for this questionnaire is 12 minutes. This estimate includes time for reviewing the instructions, gathering the data needed, completing, and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Institute. This questionnaire has an identifying number so that we can track who has responded. The Institute will not report information from this evaluation in a way that respondents or their organizations can be identified. Moreover, the identity of individual respondents will be kept confidential and will not be disclosed. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number that is displayed on the cover is currently valid and authorizes this collection of information.



1. Using the scale above, please rate the following questions about the participants and resources?

Rating

_____	a. The participants, as a group, represented all affected concerns.
_____	b. The participants continued to be engaged so long as their involvement was necessary.
_____	c. The participants had sufficient authority to make commitments on behalf of their organizations.
_____	d. I had the resources (e.g., time, money) needed to participate effectively in the process.
_____	e. I had full access to relevant information I needed in order to participate effectively in this collaborative process.
_____	f. Relevant information was effectively integrated into the process (e.g., a project web site was used to share information, spatial analysis and decision support tools were used).



2. Using the scale above, please rate the following for each facilitator involved in this process:
 Please identify each facilitator by placing their initials in the space provided, and then rate each statement for each facilitator. Please use the margins to rate additional facilitators if needed.

<i>Initials</i> of Facilitator(s)			Facilitator Skills and Practices
1. ____	2. ____	3. ____	
<i>Ratings</i>			
_____	_____	_____	a. The facilitator(s) kept us on track and helped us find ways to move forward constructively.
_____	_____	_____	b. The facilitator(s) dealt with all participants in a fair and unbiased manner, and made sure that no one dominated the process.
_____	_____	_____	c. The facilitator(s) helped us manage technical discussions efficiently.
_____	_____	_____	d. The facilitator(s) helped the participants test the practicality of the options under discussion.
_____	_____	_____	e. The facilitator(s) was helpful in documenting our work.

3. Using the scale above, please rate the following statements about the process:


Rating

_____	a. The process helped you gain a better understanding of the other participants' views and perspectives.
_____	b. The process helped you identify and focus on the key issues that had to be addressed.
_____	c. The process helped the participants, as a group, to explore options or resolutions that meet the common needs of all participants.

4. Please indicate the extent to which progress was made:

Check only one

<input type="checkbox"/>	Progress made on <u>all</u> key issues	<i>Use the space below if you would like to elaborate on your response:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Progress made on <u>most</u> key issues	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Progress made on <u>some</u> key issues	
<input type="checkbox"/>	We ended the process <u>without making</u> much progress at all.	



5. Using the scale above, please rate the extent to which the participants were able to work together cooperatively when the process began and as a result of the process.

	<u>Before</u> the process began	<u>As a result of</u> the process
	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Rating</i>
The participants were able to work together cooperatively.	_____	_____

6. Using the scale above, please rate the following:

Rating

_____	a. I would recommend this type of process to colleagues in a similar situation.
-------	---

7. What is your top suggestion on how this collaborative process could have been improved?
 PLEASE WRITE "NONE" IF YOU FEEL THIS PROCESS COULD NOT HAVE BEEN IMPROVED.

<hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin-bottom: 10px;"/> <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black;"/>
--

8. From your perspective, what will be the effect (e.g., impacts or benefits) of the progress made?
 PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY, AND BRIEFLY DESCRIBE.


<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural resources and environmental conditions	<i>Briefly describe effects of the progress made:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Historic and cultural resources	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Community and social conditions	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Economic conditions	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recreational uses	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	

9. If you had not participated in this collaborative process, what would have been the most likely process for the issues to be addressed or resolved?

<i>Check only one</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. Unassisted negotiation
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. Judicial settlement conference
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. Litigation
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. Lobbying or working to achieve legislative action
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. Rulemaking
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. Arbitration
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. Administrative proceeding (e.g., agency appeals process, agency order)
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. Wait for a better time to take action.
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. Don't know
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. Other (PLEASE SPECIFY) _____

10. Overall, what did this collaborative process accomplish?

<i>Check all that apply</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	a. A potentially costly or divisive dispute was <i>likely</i> avoided.
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. An impasse (stalemate) was broken.
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. A crisis was averted.
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. Conflict didn't escalate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. Costly or protracted litigation was avoided.
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. Relationships among parties in this process were improved.
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. The process resulted in timely decisions and outcomes
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. The process led or will lead to a more informed public action/decision
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. Nothing was accomplished.
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. The process made the issues or dispute worse.



11. Using the scale above, please rate the following final questions:

Rating

—	b. On reflection, this was the right facilitator(s) to guide this process.
—	c. I was involved in selecting the facilitator(s).

12. Which category best describes the interest or organization you represented in this process?

CHECK THE MOST APPROPRIATE BOX ONLY.

<input type="checkbox"/>	a. Federal Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	b. State Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	c. Local/Regional Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	d. Tribal Government
<input type="checkbox"/>	e. Environmental/Conservation
<input type="checkbox"/>	f. Recreational
<input type="checkbox"/>	g. Industrial/Resource Extraction
<input type="checkbox"/>	h. Business/Commercial
<input type="checkbox"/>	i. Community or Private Citizen (e.g., neighborhood association, local resident)
<input type="checkbox"/>	j. Special Advocacy Interests (Please specify): _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	k. Other (Please specify): _____

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.
Your assistance in providing this information is *very* much appreciated.